OBSERVATIONS ON PREDATORS OF GIANT CLAMS (BIVALVIA: FAMILY TRIDACNIDAE)

Sally N. Alcazar

Giant clams are now the subject of mariculture studies throughout the Indo-Pacific region (Gwyther and Munro, 1981; Fitt et al., 1984; Heslinga and Watson, 1985). One question to be answered before large-scale culture is attempted is the extent of predation in the natural habitats. This paper presents preliminary observations on predation on five species of tridacnids (Tridacna crocea [Lamarck], T. derasa [Röding], T. maxima [Röding], T. squamosa [Lamarck] and Hippopus hippopus [Linn.]) in the laboratory and in nature.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Observations on clam predation were made in the natural habitats while skin or SCUBA diving and in the laboratory. But laboratory-spawned juvenile clams and adult clams collected nature were observed. Potential predators (three gastropode three fishes and three crabs) were collected from coral reef. The choice of these nine predator species was based primarily their availability, but, for some, also on anecdotal report about their clam-feeding habits. In the laboratory, two to for clams, each belonging to one species, were simultaneous exposed to one unfed potential predator in 60-1 glass aquaria as were observed for periods varying from two to seven days. The water in the aquaria was aerated and kept at a temperature 26.5 to 31°C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Clam predation in nature was observed only once, despite t large number of dives (>100): a 17-cm-long tulip she Pleuroploca sp. attacked an 8.5-cm-long T. maxima.

Eight of the nine species of potential predators were found

Eight of the nine species of potential predators were four to attack giant clams in the laboratory (Table 1). The exception was the bat volute, Voluta vespertilio, which did not attack maxima, T. squamosa and H. hippopus. The muricid Chicore ramosus probably also preys on giant clams in the natural

1. Summary of laboratory observations on clam predation, (+) attacked, (-) not attacked, (0) not tested.

| BEDATOR SPECIES | CLAM SPECIES | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | $\underline{\underline{T}}$. | crocea | <u>Τ</u> . | derasa | <u> </u> T. | maxima | <u> T</u> . | squamosa | H.hippopus |
| Mooreus ramosus | 1 | + | Ī | 0 | T | + | 1 | + | + |
| Marroploca sp. | l | + | 1 | 0 | 1 | + | 1 | | + |
| Muta vespertilio | Ī | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 83 1046 820700 | 1 | sil ja Silijaar | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e |
| listapus undulatus | 1 | 194 35 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 107801 | 1 | edf of | + |
| Michoeres sp. 1 | 13 | + | 1 | 0 | 1 | + | 1 | red v | . Isañ Beimara |
| mlichoeres sp. 2 | 1 | + - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 V + 1 | 1 | 11W+ 111 4.8 11 3 cm | , shari |
| Demania alcalai | 1 | + | 1 | eqe + bir | 1 | + | 1 | + 13 | 1 |
| Depilius convexus | 1 | + | 1 | . + | 1 | 7+ 9 | Tal | is 4 sed | F 3/4 |
| Talamita sp. | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | + | 1 | 0 | + |
| Turber attacked and consumed | 1 | 7 | 1 | 9 | | 8 | | 8 | *8 |

tats, as the family Muricidae is a well-known molluscan whether the other six species observed to attack clams

laboratory do so in nature also is not known.

The predators exhibited various modes of attack. Chicoreus injects a toxic substance causing paralysis of clames through a hole which it drills through the valve. It sucks the fluids of the prey by means of its proboscis, ted through the hole (Halstead, 1978). Chicoreus was also reduced attaching directly to the clame through the gaping Pleuroploca immobilized the clames by clasping the mantle states foot, bringing its shell lip against the clames and valves reventing their closure. The predator then inserted its sois into the soft tissues, which it consumed. Balistapus atus fed on the mantle and the exposed byssus and foot of clames. Halichoeres fed only on the byssus and foot of clames. The xanthid crabes Demania alcalai and the convexus used their chelae to break the valves of the clames before feeding on their soft tissues.

The portunid crab <u>Thalamita</u> probably penetrated the soft tiss of adult clams through either the byssal orifice or the inhals siphon and fed on the soft tissues and mantle from within.

Six predatory species (<u>C</u>. <u>ramosus</u>, <u>Pleuroploca</u> sp., <u>undulatus</u>, <u>Halichoeres</u> spp. and <u>Thalamita</u> sp.) fed on both aduland juveniles; two (<u>D</u>. <u>alcalai</u> and <u>C</u>. <u>convexus</u>), on juvenilonly. <u>T</u>. <u>squamosa</u> was vulnerable to the attacks of <u>Balistar</u> because its valves in adult animals do not close complete exposing the mantle. Clams with a large byssal orifice <u>crocea</u>, <u>T</u>. <u>maxima</u>) were readily attacked by <u>Halichoeres</u> who detached from the substrate. Among the clams observed, hippopus suffered the least from predation; only <u>Chicoreramosus</u> and <u>Pleuroploca</u> attacked it. <u>C</u>. <u>ramosus</u> attacked it. <u>C</u>. <u>ramosus</u> attacked it. <u>C</u>. ramosus attacked presented to the predator. <u>C</u>. <u>ramosus</u> and <u>Pleuroploca</u> attacked all five species of giant clams.

Heslinga and Watson (1985) and Perron et al. (1985) report controlled experiments in Malakal harbor, Palau, Caroli Islands, in which juvenile $\underline{\mathbf{T}}$. $\underline{\mathbf{derasa}}$ were preyed upon by t muricid gastropod $\underline{\mathbf{Cymatium}}$ $\underline{\mathbf{muricinum}}$. Heslinga et al. (198 indicates that another muricid species, $\underline{\mathbf{Chicoreus}}$ $\underline{\mathbf{ramosus}}$,

also a natural predator at Palau.

My observations on clam predation, which lacked adequation controls, are preliminary, serving to indicate lines for futulaboratory experiments. Although a predator has been observattacking a natural population, the extent of this predation as yet unkown.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is part of the Giant Clam Project funded by taustralian Center for International Agricultural Resear (ACIAR). I gratefully acknowledge the following persons: Dr. C. Alcala and Dr. Dale Law for suggestions on the improvement the manuscript, Erwinia P. Solis for valuable help in texperiments, Mamerto C. Duran for technical assistance, Arace G. Menez for typing the manuscript, and other support personne for collecting the experimental animals.

LITERATURE CITED

Fitt, W. K., C. R. Fisher and R. K. Trench 1984. Larval biolo of tridacnid clams. Aquaculture 39:181-195.

Gwyther, J. and J. L. Munro 1981. Spawning induction and rearist of larvae of tridacnid clams (Bivalvia: Tridacnidae Aquaculture 24:197-217.

TO BE AND THE TOTAL OF THE

stead, B. W. 1978. Poisonous and venomous marine animals of world (rev. ed.). Darwin Press, Princeton.

linga, G. A., F. E. Perron and C. Orak 1984. Mass culture of miant clams (F. Tridacnidae) in Palau. Aquaculture 39:197-215. linga, G. A. and T. E. Watson 1985. Recent advances in giant lam mariculture. Proceedings of the 5th International Coral

Beef Symposium, Tahiti:531-537.

F. E., G. A. Heslinga and J. Fagolimol 1985. The gastro-Cymatium muricinum, a predator on juvenile tridacnid clams. Aquaculture 48:1-11.

The ported . S. - patering queen by seeming within Marine Laboratory, Silliman University, Dumaguete City 6501,

veolnis ramanto den cara veo vaga de al meditare la merca de la merca del merca de la merca de la merca del merca de la merca del merca de la merca del merca de la merca de la merca de la merca de la merca del merca de la merca del me

dot in the second of the secon

The second control of the second control of