PRODUCTIVITY LEVEL OF TEST FARMS UNDER VARIOUS CROPPING SYSTEMS: THE CASE OF THE LAKE BALINSASAYAO PROJECT

Rowe V. Cadeliña and Elvisa Yrad

Introduction

One of the objectives of the Lake Balinsasayao project was test various systems. The tests were intended to identify crop eixes that provide maximum yield.

To do this, around one-half hectare of land close to the Project Center was developed and planted with various crops. The site served as a test farm, a demonstration center and also a seed bank for various crops. The farmers were provided the actual evidence concerning productive performance of various crops as well as a seed base for crops that they like to grow.

As a seed bank, the farm had already provided seeds to the termers. These consist of various varieties of beans, squash, to-to-to-es, pepper, eggplant and other vegetables.

During the last two years of the project, the use of organic stilizer, composed of dried goat manure, was provided by our at breeder, an Anglo-Nubian variety. While the breeder can improve the quality of few goats raised in the area, the seder can also serve as a fertilizer generator to our test farm. barn was constructed at the edge of the test farm to facilitate transport of the manure.

This paper attempts to document the productivity level of various crops planted and the collective productivity level mixed crops on our test farms. Relative productivity levels measured to determine the maximum productive performof specific crop and the different types of crop mixture. practical interest, types of crop mixture and specific crops render higher productivity performance compared to others selected for possible adoption by the farmers.

METHODOLOGY

Since harvesting was not done once, especially for vegetaevery harvest activity was monitored, information on the of the farm planted, the quantity of product harvested, the were recorded. The quantity of product was measured using a commercial weighing scale.

Monitoring was not done on the basis of agricultural year.

Instead, production was reckoned on the basis of completed production cycle, that is from planting to its complete final harvest.

Hence, the reference point of production is land area during impleted cropping cycle. In case of mixed cropping, the completed cropping cycle covers from the start of planting up to the implete harvesting of all crops planted.

DATA AND DISCUSSION

Productivity level of four test farms were monitored from member 1987 to August 1988. Each test farm was characterized different mixes of crops. The intention of the experiment to find the kind of crop mixes that would yield optimal rether than manner was set up in this manner since it was assed that mixed cropping is more efficient than monocropping in soil fertility use and in pest management and control.

Test Farm No. 1 involved a plot with an area of 1414 sq. m. ting in December 1987 to August 1988, various crops were ted and harvested from the site (see Table 1). The following were planted and harvested: gabi, yam, sweet potato, corn, tchuelas (white beans), string beans, okra, squash. The tomonitoring period for this plot was nine months.

Test farm No. 2 consisted of 1,349 sq. m. planted to nine erent crops (see Table 2). They include banana, papaya, yam, sh, alogbate, baguio beans, camote tops, gabi and ubi. Productor period lasted for eight months starting January 1988 and in August 1988.

Test Farm Number 3 only involved an area of 120 sq. m. varieties of vegetables were planted: string beans and atoes (see Table 3). Production period being monitored lasted five months, from March to July 1988.

SILLIMAN JOURNAL Vol. 35 1st-4th Quarters 1988

rops

ring

Tomat

Tere Dayayamts. P

Imps I

matoe

naya

mon pot

Table 1

Production Data: Test Farm No. 1

Crops Planted	Total Area Planted	Total Kilos Harvested	Months Involved
Gabi Yam	1,414 sq. m.	270.45	December, 1987— August 1988
Sweet potato			1700
Corn			
Habitchuelas			
String beans			
Okra			
Squash			or ender

Table 2

Production Data: Test Farm No. 2

Crops Planted	Total Area Planted	Total Kilos Harvested	Months Involved
Banana	1,349 sq. m.	339.25	January, 1988
Papaya			August, 1988
Yam			
Squash			
Alogbate		Terle Page	
Baguio beans			
Camote (tops)			
Gabi			
Ubi	* 15 1		- Nien

Table 3

Production Data: Test Farm Number 3

ps Planted	Total Area Planted	Total Kilos Harvested	Months Involved
ing beans	120 sq. m.	24.75	March 1988-
atoes			July, 1988

Test Farm Number 4 covered an area of 1,132 sq. m. There
12 different crops — yam, sweet potato, tomatoes, okra,
ya, corn, pepper, peanut, onion, Irish potato, ginger and carProduction period for this test farm went on for a period
eacht months beginning January 1988 and lasting in August
(see Table 4).

Table 4

Planted	Total Area Planted	Total Kilos Harvested	Months Involved
im 30	_ 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		Harris Class
Potato			
Tell			
CO.	1,132 sq. m.	217.75	January 1988- August 1988
non .			,
potato			

Production was measured by using a commercial weighing scale which was regularly calibrated to maintain its level of accuracy. Table 5 summarizes the productivity level of the four test farms. In absolute term, Test Farm No. 2 provided the highest yield. This is followed by Test Farm No. 1. Since Test Farm No. 3 had the smallest area, obviously we can expect also the lowest yield from it in absolute erms.

On the basis of production per unit area of cultivation. Test Farm No. 2 yielded the highest. Per square meter of land are cultivated this test farm produced .25 kilograms of products from various crops. Using the kind of crop mixes for Test Farm No. 2 it is estimated that a one hectare piece of land for a period only eight months can produce around 2.500 kilograms of various agricultural products. In this test farm, as we saw earlier, on nine crops were planted such as the following: banana. papayam, squash, alogbate, baguio beans, camote tops, gabi, and ubi. Given these varieties of crops, this would suggest that the test farm has a crop density of about 150 sq. m. to every crows since the crops were randomly mixed, we could say that the crowere exposed intensively to others as they grow on the test farm

If we take the average monthly production of Test Far-No. 2 during the period its productivity had been monitored. Test Farm No. 2 also yielded the highest prouctivity level. had a monthly yield of around 42 kilograms for an area of one 1,349 sq. m.

The lowest productivity level had been generated by Farms No. 1 and No. 4. Both farms recorded a production legon of only 19 kilograms for every square meter of land. Test Farm No. 1 had only eight while Test Farm No. 4 had 12 differences. Test Farm No. 1 had a crop density of around 177 sq. m. per crop while Test Farm No. 4 has 94 sq. m. per crop It should be noted again that these crops were randomly mixed.

Test Farms Nos. 1 and 4 are estimated to produce around 1,900 kilograms per hectare during a production period of each to nine months. With an area of 1.414 sq. m. Test Farm No.

No. 4 aroundight

Tarms

Tops

The hi

ates it

to lit

means

roduct

hav

cor.

Let

Althome go to see the see the see to see the see the

Another crop

unted in

estimated to produce around 30 kilograms of agricultural roducts per month during the nine-month period. For Test Farm 4, with an area of only 1,132 sq. m., it was estimated to produce and 27 kilograms per month during a production period of months. Test Farm No. 1 is only slightly higher to that of Farm No. 4.

Table 3 suggests that the highest yielder among the test is the one that is intermediate in terms of the number of planted. The lowest yielder test farms. Test Farm No. 1 eight crops planted while Test Farm No. 2 had 12. highest yielder, Test Farm No. 2, had nine crops. This inditable that having only eight different crops in a farm means little which do not optimize production, while having 12 too dense causing more competition and reducing action. Hence, the optimal level of production can be achieved aving nine different crops planted in a farm. How strong is onclusion?

Let us answer this question by looking at our Test Farm No.

Though the size of the plot in this test was too small to warrant
general observations, perhaps some trends can be identified.

Test farm had only two crops planted (string beans and toes) on a very small area of 120 sq. m. yielding around 25

Tams during a production period of five months. Its proon level per square meter is slightly higher than those of our
tyielder test farms (Test Farm Nos. 1 and 4), but lower
that of our highest yielder test farm (Test Farm No. 2).

Indicates that the idea concerning optimal number of crop
is not a very strong hypothesis to assert. However, it does
remain as a standing hypothesis for further testing in the
esince our test case is not large enough to warrant a more
tite claim.

Another angle that should be looked into is the quality of crops mixed. Particular types of crops being mixed may some chemical interaction which may contribute to low or productivity. Let us look into this by comparing the crops and in our highest and lowest yielder test farms.

	Estimated Production	During the Months Involved	(Col. 5 × 10,000)	(2)	1,900 kg	2,500 kg	2,100 kg	1,900 kg
		Production Froduction per Sq. M. Per Month	(Col. 4/Col. 3)	(0)	30.05 kg	42.41 kg	4.95 kg	27.22 kg
		Production per Sq. M.	(Col. 4/Col. 2)	(c)	.19 kg	.25 kg	.21 kg	.19 kg
Table 5		Total Kilos	Farvested	(4)	270.45	339.25	24.75	217.75
		No. of Months	pevlovni	(6)	6	80	22	_∞
	*	Total Area (Sq. Meters)	Planted	(7)	1,414	1,349	120	1,132
		. S	est rarm			2	ю	4

Crops P High Yi (Test Fa Yam

giog-

Camote

Banana

bi.

Babi

paya

guio be

- ogbate

quash

2010 12.

4 (T. CON) 2

SILLIMAN JOURNAL Vol. 35 1st-4th Quarters 1988

Table 6

Crops Planted In Low and High Yielder Test Farms

Farm No. 2)	(Test Farm No. 1)	(Test Farm No. 4)
-	Yam	Yam
mate (Tops)	Sweet Potato	Sweet Potato
Mig of Englishing	Corn	Corn 1 2012
	01	Ckra
kyon this three.	Gabi	Tomatoes
mail blows it s'		Papaya
beans	String beans	
t e sleng this	Squash	Peanut
tesh		Onion
anat a son so Albanha bee		Irish Potato
LEGUO CUS,		Ginger
		Carrots

zing you have a market only unless break to a some conviving Cut at a market of the grisultant of the con-

PRO

Table 6 shows crops like banana, ubi, Baguio beans and alogbate as peculiar to Test Farm No. 2. These peculiar crops must have significant contribution to high yield for this test farm. The other crops are common to all the low and high yielder test farms. For practical consideration, banana and ubi should be introduced in the farm since these crops tend to contribute higher yield to the farm.

SUMMARY

The study revealed that certain crop mix tend to produce higher compared to others. Although still not very conclusive there appears to be a threshold on the number of crops mixed that will provide an optimal production. Beyond this threshold limit, it may contribute to over competition between various plant species causing a reduced production. Below the threshold, it may not allow optimum use of nutrients causing also low productivity. The right level of mixture has to be determined. This study has provided the lead and more study in the future along this line have to be conducted.

For practical interest, this study suggests that a farm capbe optimally producing if the following crops are mixed — yar camote tops, banana, ubi, gabi, papaya, baguio beans, alogo bate, and squash. Production estimate using this recommended crop mix shows that a one hectare piece of land can produce 2.50 kilograms of various agricultural products during a production period of eight months. Assuming that this agricultural production will have an average market price of P2 per kilogram — a or hectare piece of land using the recommended crop mix will be capable of producing P5,000 within an 8-month agricultural production.

The ming sents al and rel si ard to meous matolo ted to tence, in the s

About Orion of the About Orion o

RM P

Farm As a sentia

The